UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/747,817	12/29/2003	Naruhide Kitada	9319M-000621	1900
	7590 01/05/200 CKEY & PIERCE, P.L	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 828			KASSA, HILINA S	
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/05/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/747,817	KITADA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	HILINA S. KASSA	2625			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 December</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 33 and 34 is/are pending in the application Papers 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) 33 and 34 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	vn from consideration. relection requirement.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence and the confidence are supplied as a supplied to by the Example 11). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/13/2008.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/08/2008 has been entered.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 11/13/2008 is being considered by the examiner.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 12/08/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues on page 5 column 2 that in Owa's configuration, a printer state management table many be not updated with the latest server according to the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/747,817 Page 3

Art Unit: 2625

However, with respect to Applicant's remarks, Examiner would like to point that the claimed invention does not specify on updating the latest information in the print management server. The amended limitation of the claim is taught by Owa as such "receives print job acquisition requests from idle ones of the plurality of printers". In figure 6, once the printer execution command is passed, the basic information on printers, printer selection conditions and user print conditions are set. Amongst the plurality of printers, the appropriate printer is selected to process the print job. Thus, the as stated in column 5, line 64-column 6, line 1, it is clear that the output destination printer selection section checks whether one or more printers satisfy the user print conditions.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Owa et al. (US Patent Number 6,348,971 B2) in view of Morikawa et al. (US Patent Number 7,027,169 B1).

Regarding claim 33:

Art Unit: 2625

As shown in figure 1, Owa et al. disclose a distributed print management server (3, figure 1) that is connected to a plurality of printers (2a-2d, figure 1) through a network (4, figure 1), divides print data into a plurality of print jobs and stores the print jobs (column 8, lines 17-23; note that the generated print data which is also divided into print page and get stored in the management table), wherein:

said distributed print management server:

identifies one of the plurality of print jobs as one print job to be printed (column 8, lines 23-25; note that the printer selection means selects the appropriate printer based upon user information);

broadcasts a print start notification to all printer (column 8, lines 30-38; note that when a document print command is entered, the user information selection means selects the appropriate printer for the specified print data);

receives print job acquisition requests from idle ones of the plurality of printers (column 5, line 64-column 6, line 1; note that the output destination checks whether one or more printers satisfy the user print conditions);

selects one printer from among the plurality of printers that have issued the print job acquisition requests, the one printer being most appropriate for the one print job based on printing capabilities (column 8, lines 39-44; note that the appropriate printer gets selected amongst other printers based on the capabilities i.e. color or monochrome) and status information of the plurality of printers (column 8, lines 39-58; note that the printer gets selected based on the status or state); and

assigns the one print job to the one printer by sending print data of the one print job to the one printer (column 8, lines 46-48; note that based on the selection the print page is converted into print data so that its it printed at an appropriate).

Owa et al. discloses all of the subject matter as described as above except for specifically teaching sending print wait notification to other printers of the plurality of printers.

However, as shown in figure 6A, Morikawa et al. discloses sending print wait notification to other printers of the plurality of printers (column 5, lines 52-58; note that as the optimum or managing printer is selected to process the print job, a message is sent to the other non-selected printers to inform that the job is being processed at the selected managing printer).

Owa et al. and Morikawa et al. are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor i.e. distributing network printing. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art to send print wait notification to other printers of the plurality of printers. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to efficiently distribute print jobs. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Owa et al. with Morikawa et al. to obtain the invention as specified in claim 33.

6. Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Owa et al. (US Patent Number 6,348,971 B2) and Morikawa et al. (US Patent Number 7,027,169 B1), and further in view of Fertlitsch et al. (US Publication Number 2002/0089691 A1).

Application/Control Number: 10/747,817 Page 6

Art Unit: 2625

Regarding claim 34:

Owa et al. and Morikawa et al. disclose all of the subject matter as described as above except for specifically teaching wherein the distributed print management server selects the one printer from among the plurality of printers based on which print can complete the print job in a shortest time from warm-up.

However, Fertlitsch et al. disclose wherein the distributed print management server selects the one printer from among the plurality of printers based on which print can complete the print job in a shortest time from warm-up (paragraph [0123], lines 1-3; note that a preference is given to a printer by taking account the warm up cycles).

Owa et al., Morikawa et al. and Fertlitsch et al. are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor i.e. distributing network printing. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art wherein the distributed print management server selects the one printer from among the plurality of printers based on which print can complete the print job in a shortest time from warm-up. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to conserve energy and time by using a printer which has been just used instead of using another printer and waste energy and time to warm it up. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Owa et al. with Morikawa et al. to obtain the invention as specified in claim 34.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Hilina Kassa whose telephone number is (571) 270-1676.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Moore could be reached at (571) 272- 7437. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about PAIR system, see http://pari-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Hilina S Kassa/

Examiner, Art Unit 2625

January 2, 2009

/David K Moore/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625